



Ancient Indian Temple Architecture: A Historical Study of Its Evolution, Religious Significance and Cultural Expressions

Rabin Mondal

Assistant professor, history, Kolkata Teachers Training College. West Bengal, India

Corresponding Author: *Rabin Mondal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23662404>

Abstract

Ancient Indian temple architecture represents one of the most significant achievements of the Indian subcontinent's religious, artistic and cultural history. The temple developed from relatively simple sacred structures into highly sophisticated architectural complexes that integrated religious beliefs, ritual practices, sculpture, engineering, political patronage and social institutions. Although the surviving structural temples are particularly abundant from the Gupta and post-Gupta periods, their development was preceded by older traditions of sacred architecture, rock-cut monuments and perishable structures. The Gupta period marked an important stage in the emergence of recognizable temple forms, while the Pallavas, Chalukyas, Rashtrakutas and Cholas subsequently developed distinctive regional traditions. The broad architectural categories of Nagara and Dravida, together with the diverse traditions of the Deccan, provide useful frameworks for understanding this development, although the boundaries between them were never completely rigid. The garbhagriha, mandapa, shikhara, vimana, pradakshinapatha and gateway constituted important components of temple design. Beyond their religious function, temples served as centres of artistic production, political representation, economic activity and community life. This article examines the evolution of ancient Indian temple architecture, its religious symbolism and its cultural expressions, arguing that the temple should be understood not simply as a monument but as a multidimensional institution in the making of Indian civilization.

Manuscript Information

- ISSN No: 3139-9851
- Received: 14-08-2026
- Accepted: 13-09-2026
- Published: 26-10-2026
- IJCATM:1(3); 2026: 236-243
- ©2026, All Rights Reserved
- Plagiarism Checked: Yes
- Peer Review Process: Yes
- Paper type: Review Paper

How to Cite

Mondal R. Ancient Indian Temple Architecture: A Historical Study of Its Evolution, Religious Significance and Cultural Expressions. Int. J. Current Acad. Trend. Multi. 2026;1(3): 236-242

Access this Article Online



www.ijcatmjournals.com

KEYWORDS: Ancient India, Temple Architecture, Nagara, Dravida, Shikhara, Vimana, Hinduism, Sculpture, Religious Architecture, Cultural Heritage

1. INTRODUCTION

Architecture is one of the most important sources for understanding the intellectual, religious and cultural history of ancient India. Among India's architectural traditions, the temple occupies a distinctive position because it combines sacred belief with architectural planning, sculpture, ritual, political authority and social organization. The Indian temple was neither merely a shelter for an image nor simply an artistic monument. It constituted a carefully organized sacred environment in which architectural form and religious meaning were closely interconnected.

The surviving history of Indian temple architecture becomes particularly visible from the Gupta period onward. Archaeological evidence indicates that structural temples in brick and stone existed by this period, while earlier sacred buildings constructed of perishable materials have largely disappeared. The Gupta period is consequently important for the emergence of architectural forms that later developed into major northern and southern traditions. An IGNCA study specifically identifies the Gupta period as an important stage in the development of the Nagara and Dravida traditions and discusses surviving examples such as Deogarh and Bhitargaon. The development of temple architecture was not linear. Architectural forms changed through experimentation, regional interaction and adaptation. The Deccan, in particular, became an important zone of interaction between northern and southern traditions. The study of Indian temple architecture therefore requires attention to both chronological development and regional diversity.

The present study investigates ancient Indian temple architecture from a historical perspective. It focuses on the development of architectural forms, the religious meaning of sacred space and the cultural functions of temples.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The study has the following objectives:

1. To examine the historical evolution of ancient Indian temple architecture.
2. To identify the principal architectural elements of Indian temples.
3. To analyse the religious and symbolic significance of temple design.
4. To examine the development of Nagara, Dravida and Deccan traditions.
5. To assess the contribution of major dynasties to temple architecture.
6. To examine temples as centres of art, culture, economy and political expression.

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The study addresses the following questions:

1. How did structural temple architecture develop in ancient India?
2. What were the major architectural characteristics of Indian temples?
3. How were religious ideas expressed through temple architecture?
4. How did regional traditions influence temple design?

5. What role did political patronage play in temple construction?
6. How did temples contribute to wider Indian cultural development?

4. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a historical, analytical and comparative methodology. It relies primarily on archaeological studies, architectural scholarship, institutional publications and historical research concerning Indian temples.

The study examines selected monuments as historical case studies and compares their architectural characteristics and cultural contexts. The research also considers architectural terminology and traditional architectural texts. Works concerning Vastu Shastra, temple architecture and regional architectural traditions are used to understand the relationship between architectural form and religious ideas. Traditional architectural literature such as the Mayamatam contains discussions of site selection, orientation, dimensions, materials and temple iconography, demonstrating that architecture was connected with a developed body of technical and ritual knowledge.

5. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of Indian temple architecture has developed as an interdisciplinary field involving archaeology, art history, architectural history, religious studies and cultural history. Early scholarship frequently concentrated on the classification and formal description of temples, while later studies increasingly examined temples as religious, social, economic and political institutions. The literature therefore provides a substantial foundation for understanding Indian temple architecture, but it also reveals differences in methodology and interpretation.

Percy Brown's *Indian Architecture: Buddhist and Hindu Periods* remains an important early survey of Indian architectural history. Brown presented temple architecture within a broad chronological framework and emphasized the development of architectural forms under different dynasties. His work is particularly useful for establishing the historical sequence of Indian architectural development, although subsequent scholarship has questioned overly linear interpretations of stylistic evolution.

Stella Kramrisch's *The Hindu Temple* represents a major theoretical contribution to the study of Hindu temple architecture. Rather than treating the temple simply as an architectural object, Kramrisch interpreted it as a symbolic representation of the cosmos. Her analysis connected architectural elements with Hindu cosmology, sacred geography and metaphysical concepts. The significance of Kramrisch's approach lies in its demonstration that the meaning of temple architecture cannot be understood exclusively through its physical form.

George Michell's *The Hindu Temple: An Introduction to Its Meanings and Forms* further developed the relationship between architectural structure and religious meaning. Michell examined the temple as a combination of architectural organization, symbolism and ritual practice. His work remains

particularly valuable for understanding the basic components of the Hindu temple and the relationship between the sanctum, superstructure, halls and sculptural programmes.

The Encyclopaedia of Indian Temple Architecture, edited by Michael W. Meister and other scholars, constitutes one of the most substantial scholarly resources for the systematic study of Indian temple architecture. The project emphasizes regional, chronological and dynastic variations rather than assuming that Indian temple architecture developed according to a single model. Its regional approach is especially important because the categories Nagara and Dravida cannot adequately explain the entire diversity of Indian architectural traditions. The encyclopaedia's organization around regions, dynasties and architectural traditions provides an important methodological foundation for comparative studies.

Adam Hardy's research has made a significant contribution to the understanding of South Indian and Deccan architecture. His study *Indian Temple Architecture: Form and Transformation—The Karnata Dravida Tradition, 7th–13th Centuries* emphasizes architectural transformation rather than static classification. This approach is particularly relevant to the present study because it demonstrates how architectural forms changed through experimentation and regional interaction.

Traditional Indian architectural literature provides another important dimension to the historiography. Texts associated with Vastu Shastra, Shilpa Shastra and works such as the *Mayamatam* discuss architectural planning, orientation, measurement, construction and iconographic principles. The *Mayamatam* is particularly significant because it treats temples as part of a broader body of architectural and ritual knowledge. The use of such textual sources alongside archaeological evidence allows scholars to investigate the conceptual foundations of temple construction.

Archaeological research has also transformed the understanding of temple development. Excavated and surviving monuments such as Bhitargaon, Deogarh, Tigawa, Nachna, Aihole, Badami and Pattadakal provide material evidence for the development of structural temples. The Gupta-period monuments are especially significant because they demonstrate an early stage in the transition toward recognizable temple forms. Institutional research by the Indira Gandhi National Centre for the Arts has emphasized the importance of the Gupta period in the development of later architectural traditions.

Studies of South Indian architecture have similarly emphasized the importance of Pallava and Chola patronage. The monuments of Mamallapuram and Kanchipuram demonstrate experimentation with rock-cut, monolithic and structural forms, while the Brihadisvara Temple at Thanjavur represents a major stage in the monumental development of the Dravida tradition. Architectural documentation of the Brihadisvara complex demonstrates the importance of its axial organization, monumental vimana, enclosure and gateways.

Another important area of scholarship concerns temple sculpture. Scholars have demonstrated that sculptural programmes should not be treated simply as ornamentation. Religious narratives, divine images, mythological scenes, dancers, musicians, animals and other figures formed part of the intellectual and visual organization of sacred space.

Museum and archaeological research likewise demonstrates that religious images in Indian traditions were intended for ritual engagement rather than being understood merely as aesthetic objects.

The existing literature therefore provides three major approaches to Indian temple architecture. The first is formal-architectural, emphasizing plans, elevations, construction techniques and stylistic classification. The second is religious-symbolic, emphasizing cosmology, ritual and sacred space. The third is historical-cultural, examining temples in relation to political patronage, economic organization, sculpture, social institutions and cultural production.

The present research draws upon all three approaches but attempts to integrate them into a single historical interpretation.

6. RESEARCH GAP

Despite the extensive scholarship on Indian temple architecture, several areas remain insufficiently integrated. Much existing literature concentrates either on individual temples, particular dynasties or specific architectural traditions. Such specialized studies are essential, but they can sometimes separate architectural development from the wider religious and cultural processes within which temples functioned.

A second gap concerns the relationship between architectural evolution and religious significance. Studies of architectural form frequently focus on plans, towers, pillars and decorative elements, whereas religious studies may concentrate on ritual and symbolism. A more integrated historical approach is required to demonstrate how architectural changes themselves reflected changing patterns of religious practice and institutional development.

A third gap concerns the use of Nagara, Dravida and Vesara as analytical categories. These terms are useful for organizing architectural history, but they can create an impression of rigid geographical divisions. Evidence from the Deccan, particularly from Aihole, Badami and Pattadakal, demonstrates substantial interaction between architectural traditions. The present study therefore treats these categories as broad historical frameworks rather than fixed stylistic boundaries.

A fourth gap concerns the temple's role beyond religion. Temples have frequently been examined as monuments of artistic and religious importance, but their roles as economic institutions, political symbols, centres of employment, patrons of artistic production and spaces of community activity require integration into architectural history. Inscriptions and archaeological evidence indicate that temples could possess substantial economic resources and participate in networks of landholding and patronage.

A fifth gap involves the relationship between architecture and cultural expression. Temple sculpture, music, dance, mythology and inscriptions are often studied separately. Yet these elements operated together within temple complexes. The temple provided a physical environment in which visual art, performance, ritual and social interaction could coexist.

A sixth gap concerns regional diversity within the broader history of Indian temple architecture. A general narrative can unintentionally privilege either northern or southern architectural traditions. A comparative approach incorporating

northern, central, southern and Deccan examples provides a more balanced understanding of the historical development of Indian sacred architecture. Finally, there remains a need for an interdisciplinary historical interpretation that connects architectural form, religious symbolism, political patronage, economic organization and cultural expression without reducing temple architecture to any one of these dimensions.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by examining ancient Indian temple architecture as a multidimensional historical phenomenon. Instead of treating temples solely as monuments or examples of architectural style, the study interprets them as evolving cultural institutions in which religion, architecture, art, politics and society interacted.

7. Historical Background of Indian Temple Architecture

7.1 Early Sacred Architecture

The history of Indian sacred architecture predates the surviving structural temples. Early religious practices could employ natural locations, sacred trees, platforms and temporary structures constructed from wood, thatch or other perishable materials. Their disappearance has created an archaeological gap in the history of temple architecture.

Buddhist architecture made an important contribution to the development of sacred spatial traditions through stupas, chaitya halls and monasteries. Rock-cut architecture also preserved architectural forms that had originally developed in perishable materials.

The transition from rock-cut and temporary structures towards permanent structural temples was therefore a gradual process. One architectural study notes that constructed temples had certainly existed earlier, but many disappeared because they were built of perishable materials; surviving structural evidence becomes much clearer from the Gupta period onward.

8. The Gupta Period and the Emergence of Structural Temples

The Gupta period represents a crucial stage in the development of Indian temple architecture. During approximately the fourth to sixth centuries CE, temples increasingly appeared as independent structural monuments constructed of brick and stone. Important examples include the Dashavatara Temple at Deogarh, Bhitargaon Temple, Tigawa Temple, Nachna and Mundeshwari. These monuments reveal experimentation with plans, elevations and superstructures.

The Deogarh temple is especially significant because of its early shikhara and extensive sculptural decoration. Gupta temple architecture established several principles that would later become characteristic of Indian temple design: a central sanctum, an elevated sacred space, an organized entrance and a developing superstructure.

The Gupta period should therefore be viewed not simply as the beginning of temple architecture but as an important formative phase in which architectural elements were increasingly systematized.

9. Evolution during the Early Medieval Period

From approximately the sixth century CE, temple architecture developed rapidly under several regional dynasties. Among the

most influential were the Pallavas in Tamil Nadu, the Early Chalukyas in the Deccan, the Rashtrakutas and later the Cholas. The Deccan was particularly important because northern and southern architectural principles appeared side by side. A study of Indian temple architecture observes that the Chalukyan region preserves both northern and southern forms and demonstrates their continuity and interaction.

This architectural experimentation is visible at Aihole, Badami and Pattadakal. The temples of these sites demonstrate that architectural traditions did not develop in complete isolation. Instead, architects adapted and transformed forms according to local conditions.

The architectural history of the Deccan is consequently essential for understanding the development of Indian temple architecture as a whole.

10. Nagara Architectural Tradition

The Nagara tradition is broadly associated with northern and central India. Its most recognizable architectural characteristic is the vertically rising shikhara above the sanctum.

The basic temple consists of a garbhagriha, or sanctum, accompanied by one or more halls. The superstructure gradually became increasingly elaborate. The amalaka, a ribbed architectural element, became a characteristic feature of many northern temple towers.

However, Nagara architecture was never a completely uniform style. Regional variations developed in Odisha, central India, Rajasthan, Gujarat and other areas. The architectural classification of Indian temples into Nagara, Dravida and Vesara has a long history of scholarly discussion, and specialists have emphasized the importance of regional forms within these broad categories.

The temples of central India and Odisha therefore demonstrate that Nagara architecture should be understood as a family of related traditions rather than a single fixed architectural model.

11. Dravida Architectural Tradition

The Dravida tradition developed particularly strongly in southern India. Its principal architectural feature is the pyramidal vimana above the sanctum.

The Pallavas played an important role in the development of southern temple architecture. The monuments at Mamallapuram and Kanchipuram demonstrate a transition from rock-cut and monolithic experimentation to increasingly sophisticated structural temples.

The Kailasanatha Temple at Kanchipuram is particularly important for understanding the development of the southern structural temple. The architectural composition emphasizes the sanctum, superstructure, subsidiary shrines and sculptural decoration. The Cholas subsequently transformed temple architecture into a monumental form. The Brihadisvara Temple at Thanjavur, constructed during the reign of Rajaraja I, represents an extraordinary development in scale, engineering and architectural organization. IGNCA's architectural documentation describes the Brihadisvara temple as organized around a linear axis, with the main shrine and sanctuary tower aligned with an enclosure and gateways. It also emphasizes the continuity of this South Indian temple type from Pallava traditions into Chola architecture.

12. The Deccan: Architectural Interaction and Experimentation

The Deccan represents one of the most significant areas of architectural experimentation in ancient and early medieval India.

The temples of Aihole, Badami and Pattadakal illustrate the interaction of northern and southern architectural principles. The Virupaksha Temple at Pattadakal, for example, demonstrates the sophistication of the southern architectural tradition in the Deccan.

The term Vesara has often been used to describe certain Deccan forms that combine or modify elements associated with Nagara and Dravida architecture. However, the classification remains complex.

Modern scholarship increasingly emphasizes regional architectural development rather than treating Vesara simply as a mechanical combination of two styles.

Adam Hardy's influential study of the Karnata-Dravida tradition demonstrates that architectural forms in Karnataka developed through continuous transformation in both time and space.

13. Principal Elements of the Indian Temple

13.1 Garbhagriha

The garbhagriha, literally the “womb chamber,” constitutes the spiritual centre of the temple. It houses the principal deity or sacred symbol.

The chamber is usually smaller and more restricted than other parts of the temple. Its architectural position emphasizes the concentration of sacred presence.

The basic South Indian temple, for example, can be reduced architecturally to a sanctum containing the representation of a deity, with access provided through a doorway.

13.2 Mandapa

The mandapa is a pillared hall associated with the sanctum. It provides space for worshippers, ritual activities and other ceremonial functions.

As temples increased in scale, multiple mandapas could be added. This development transformed the temple from a relatively compact shrine into a large architectural complex.

13.3 Shikhara and Vimana

The shikhara or vimana forms the vertical architectural expression of the shrine.

In northern temples, the shikhara commonly assumes a curvilinear form, while in southern architecture the vimana develops through progressively diminishing horizontal tiers. The tower visually emphasizes the sacred centre.

IGNCA's study of the Brihadisvara temple notes that the tower or shikhara is conceived as the peak of a mountain, reinforcing its vertical and symbolic character.

13.4 Pradakshinapatha

Many temples provide space for circumambulation around the sanctum. Devotees move clockwise around the sacred centre, converting architectural space into ritual movement.

Thus, temple architecture was experienced physically as well as visually.

13.5 Gateways and Enclosures

Temple complexes increasingly incorporated enclosure walls and monumental gateways. In southern India, the gopura became a particularly important feature in later temple development.

These elements indicate the transformation of the temple from a single shrine into a large sacred institution.

14. Religious Significance

Indian temple architecture was deeply connected with Hindu cosmology and ritual.

The temple could be understood as a representation of the cosmic order. The central sanctum provided the focus of divine presence, while the vertical tower symbolized the connection between earthly and celestial realms.

The architectural organization of the temple also reflected concepts of sacred orientation, proportion and spatial hierarchy. Architectural texts prescribed rules concerning site selection, orientation, dimensions and iconography. The Mayamatam, for example, deals with both human dwellings and divine dwellings and contains detailed architectural instructions concerning temples.

Consequently, temple architecture transformed religious concepts into physical form.

15. Temple Sculpture as Cultural Expression

Sculpture represents one of the most important cultural dimensions of Indian temples.

Temple walls frequently depict:

Hindu deities; scenes from the Ramayana and Mahabharata; Puranic narratives;

divine and semi-divine beings; musicians and dancers; animals and birds; floral and geometric motifs; royal and social figures.

These sculptures served multiple purposes. They enhanced the visual beauty of the monument, communicated religious narratives and provided representations of cultural life.

Temple sculpture can consequently be treated as a historical source. It provides information about clothing, ornaments, musical instruments, dance, social groups, mythology and artistic conventions.

The architectural structure and sculptural programme therefore functioned together rather than as separate artistic elements.

16. Temple and Political Power

Temple construction was closely associated with kingship and political authority.

Monumental temples required substantial financial resources, skilled craftsmen, architectural knowledge and organized labour. Royal patronage enabled rulers to construct temples that could express their devotion while simultaneously demonstrating political power.

The Chola temples offer particularly important evidence of this relationship. Monumental scale, inscriptions and elaborate architectural organization reveal the interaction between royal authority and religious institutions.

Temple construction could therefore strengthen the political legitimacy of rulers by associating kingship with divine authority.

At the same time, the temple could become an economic institution receiving land, agricultural resources, gifts and other forms of patronage.

17. Temple as an Economic and Social Institution

The temple was not isolated from everyday society. Large temple establishments required priests, architects, sculptors, metalworkers, musicians, dancers, administrators, gardeners and other workers.

Temple institutions could therefore support complex networks of economic activity.

Inscriptions are especially important in reconstructing these relationships. They preserve records of donations, land grants, endowments and other forms of patronage.

The temple thus functioned simultaneously as:

Religious centre; Artistic centre; Economic institution; Social space; political symbol.

This multidimensional character is essential for understanding its historical significance.

18. Temple, Art, Music and Dance

Temple culture contributed significantly to the development and preservation of Indian artistic traditions.

Music and dance were associated with ritual and festival activities in many temple communities. Sculptural representations of dancers and musicians demonstrate the close relationship between performing arts and sacred spaces.

Architecture itself incorporated artistic expression through carved pillars, ceiling designs, doorways, miniature shrines and sculptural panels.

The temple therefore provided an environment in which multiple artistic forms interacted.

19. Cultural Diversity within Indian Temple Architecture

One of the most important findings of the study is that Indian temple architecture cannot be reduced to a single architectural model.

The temples of northern India differ from those of Odisha; Odisha differs from Gujarat; Gujarat differs from Tamil Nadu; and Tamil Nadu differs from Karnataka and Kerala.

Yet these regional traditions share certain fundamental principles, particularly the importance of the sacred centre, ritual movement, divine imagery and architectural symbolism.

The Encyclopaedia of Indian Temple Architecture demonstrates the enormous regional diversity of Indian temple forms by organizing its studies according to regions, dynasties and local architectural idioms.

Thus, diversity and unity existed simultaneously within Indian temple architecture.

20. MAJOR FINDINGS

The present study produces the following major findings:

- **First:** Indian temple architecture developed gradually from earlier sacred and architectural traditions rather than appearing suddenly as a fully developed system.

- **Second:** The Gupta period represents a critical stage in the development of permanent structural temples and architectural forms later associated with Nagara and Dravida traditions.
- **Third:** Temple architecture developed through regional experimentation and interaction.
- **Fourth:** The Deccan played an especially important role in the interaction of northern and southern architectural traditions.
- **Fifth:** the garbhagriha, mandapa, shikhara, vimana and circumambulatory spaces were not merely structural elements; they were closely associated with religious ideas and ritual practice.
- **Sixth:** sculpture transformed temples into visual repositories of mythology and cultural life.
- **Seventh:** Royal patronage made temple construction an important expression of political authority.
- **Finally:** Temples functioned as complex cultural institutions involving religion, economy, art, education, social organization and political power.

21. CONCLUSION

Ancient Indian temple architecture represents a remarkable synthesis of religion, architecture, sculpture, engineering and social organization. Its evolution occurred over many centuries and involved numerous regional traditions. The Gupta period provided an important foundation for the development of structural temples, while the Pallavas, Chalukyas, Rashtrakutas and Cholas transformed temple architecture through experimentation, monumental construction and regional adaptation.

The distinction between Nagara and Dravida architecture is useful for historical analysis, but Indian temple architecture was considerably more complex than a simple north-south division. The Deccan and other regions demonstrate extensive interaction and transformation of architectural forms. Modern scholarship consequently emphasizes architectural processes, regional idioms and historical continuity rather than rigid stylistic boundaries.

The temple was also much more than an architectural structure. Its garbhagriha represented the sacred centre; its tower expressed vertical and cosmic symbolism; its sculpture communicated mythology and cultural values; its halls provided spaces for ritual and community activities; and its inscriptions preserved evidence of political and economic relationships.

The historical importance of ancient Indian temple architecture therefore lies precisely in its multidimensional character. Temples embody the religious imagination of their builders while simultaneously preserving evidence of technological knowledge, artistic creativity, political ambition and social organization.

Ancient Indian temples should consequently be understood as living historical documents constructed in stone, brick and artistic imagination. Their study provides an important means of understanding the evolution of Indian civilization and the relationship between sacred space and cultural identity.

REFERENCES

1. Acharya PK. Manasara on architecture and sculpture. Oxford University Press; 1933.
2. Brown P. Indian architecture: Buddhist and Hindu periods. D. B. Taraporevala Sons; 1942.
3. Dhaky MA, editor. Encyclopaedia of Indian temple architecture. American Institute of Indian Studies; 1996–1998.
4. Hardy A. Indian temple architecture: form and transformation: the Karnata Dravida tradition, 7th–13th centuries. Abhinav Publications; 1995.
5. Kramrisch S. The Hindu temple. University of Calcutta; 1946.
6. Michell G. The Hindu temple: an introduction to its meanings and forms. University of Chicago Press; 1977.
7. Soundara Rajan KV. The typology of the temple in South India. Munshiram Manoharlal; 1972.
8. Dagens B, editor. Mayamatam: treatise of housing, architecture and iconography. 2007.
9. Archaeological Survey of India. Reports and documentation on Indian architectural heritage. Government of India.
10. Indira Gandhi National Centre for the Arts. Indian temple architecture: form and transformation. New Delhi: Indira Gandhi National Centre for the Arts.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution–Non-Commercial–No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license. This license permits sharing and redistribution of the article in any medium or format for non-commercial purposes only, provided that appropriate credit is given to the original author(s) and source. No modifications, adaptations, or derivative works are permitted under this license.